



# Erratum to: Touristic site attractiveness seen through Twitter

Aleix Bassolas<sup>1</sup>, Maxime Lenormand<sup>1\*</sup>, Antònia Tugores<sup>1</sup>, Bruno Gonçalves<sup>2,3</sup> and José J Ramasco<sup>1</sup>

\*Correspondence:

maxime@ifisc.uib-csic.es

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Física Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos IFISC (CSIC-UIB), Palma de Mallorca, 07122, Spain

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Unfortunately, the original version of this article [1] contained several errors. The numbers in Table 3 should have been divided by two and the title of the table should have been “Ranking of nodes based on the fraction of the total weight” instead of “Ranking of nodes based on the total weight”. Accordingly, the sentence “The Eiffel Tower alone accounted for a 50% of the total weighted degree” in Section 3.3 “Network of touristic sites” should have read “The Eiffel Tower alone accounted for a 25% of the total weighted degree”.

The correct Table 3 is included in full in this erratum.

**Table 3 Ranking of nodes based on the fraction of the total weight**

Site	Node total weight
Eiffel Tower (France)	0.25
Times Square (US)	0.17
Zocalo (Mexico)	0.10
London Tower (UK)	0.10
Pisa Tower (Italy)	0.06
Hagia Sophia (Turkey)	0.04
Niagara Falls (Canada-US)	0.04
Corcovado (Brazil)	0.03
Alhambra (Spain)	0.03
Grand Canyon (US)	0.03

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Física Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos IFISC (CSIC-UIB), Palma de Mallorca, 07122, Spain. <sup>2</sup>Center for Data Science, New York University, 726 Broadway, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10003, USA. <sup>3</sup>CPT, UMR 7332, Aix Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS, Marseille, 13288, France.

Received: 7 April 2016 Accepted: 7 April 2016 Published online: 13 April 2016

## References

1. Bassolas A, Lenormand M, Tugores A, Gonçalves B, Ramasco JJ (2016) Touristic site attractiveness seen through Twitter. *EPJ Data Sci* 5:12. doi:10.1140/epjds/s13688-016-0073-5